

# FAST FACTS



## COMMON EDUCATION CHOICE MYTHS DEBUNKED



PALMETTO  
PROMISE  
INSTITUTE

### MYTH 1: “EDUCATION CHOICE DRAINS MONEY FROM TRADITIONAL PUBLIC SCHOOLS.”

**FACT: EDUCATION CHOICE PROGRAMS ACTUALLY SAVE TAXPAYER DOLLARS.**

When a student takes part in an education choice program, he or she is no longer present in the public school creating demand for public school resources, but is instead in a private school that costs the state less. The average per pupil cost for a public school student (state and local) is \$11,817 (2016-17). The average cost for the Exceptional SC choice program is \$4,252 (2017-18). The average ESA choice program projected cost to the state is \$5,916 (2016-17), and the average charter school costs the state \$8,369 (2016-17).

The presence or lack of choice doesn't add or subtract funding from traditional public schools. In South Carolina's Education Scholarship Account (ESA) legislation, only the state portion of the funds will follow the student. In that legislation and in other choice programs, public schools continue receiving most of the local and federal dollars.

Research even shows a net benefit when students leave for choice schools, because it ultimately means increased per-pupil funding for those students who stay. Florida's education choice program saved the state \$57.9 million for 2012-2013. In Oklahoma, \$1.24 was saved for every \$1 in tax credits.

#### Sources:

EdChoice: "How does education choice affect public schools' funding and resources?"

EdChoice: "Debunking Arguments Against Education choice: "Privatization" Drains Money From Already "Underfunded" Public Schools"

EdChoice: Greg Forster, "A Win-Win Solution: The Empirical Evidence on Education choice" (EdChoice, 2016)

### MYTH 2: “ALLOWING CHILDREN TO ATTEND RELIGIOUS SCHOOLS THROUGH SCHOLARSHIPS IS A VIOLATION OF THE SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE.”

**FACT: EDUCATION CHOICE IS CONSTITUTIONAL. PERIOD.**

The state does not choose or in any way favor religious schools. They are simply vendors for educational services like any other school. This practice has been upheld by numerous state courts and in the U.S. Supreme Court.

#### Source:

Zelman v. Simmons-Harris, 536 U.S. 639 (2002)

### **MYTH 3: “CHOICE PARTICIPANTS DO NOT PERFORM AS WELL ACADEMICALLY AS THEIR TRADITIONAL PUBLIC-SCHOOL PEERS.”**

**FACT: ACTUALLY, THE OPPOSITE IS TRUE.**

Out of 18 studies that measure the academic outcomes of education choice programs, 78% percent showed positive academic impact for choice program participants.

In Milwaukee, a parental choice program led to 10.7% higher scores in math and 5.8% higher performance in reading than public school peers.

**Sources:**

EdChoice: Greg Forster, “A Win-Win Solution: The Empirical Evidence on Education choice” (EdChoice, 2016)

### **MYTH 4: “EDUCATION CHOICE ADVOCATES ARE AGAINST PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND WANT TO PRIVATIZE EDUCATION.”**

**FACT: EDUCATION CHOICE SUPPORTERS EMBRACE THE SUCCESS OF CHILDREN REGARDLESS OF WHAT SCHOOL THEY ATTEND.**

The guiding principle of the choice movement is that parents – not bureaucracies — are most qualified to pick the right learning environment. Because of that belief, we celebrate all great schools and all great teachers. A one-size-fits-all approach does not meet the needs of all children. This view was articulated best by Delores Gilliard, a veteran public school teacher who now leads St. Martin de Porres Catholic School in Columbia:

“I’m a 40-year veteran of a public school as a teacher and administrator. Not all settings fit all children. Parents need choice. We need choices for our boys and girls who have academic and social problems, and we need to meet those needs in order for our boys and girls to be successful.”

### **MYTH 5: “THERE IS NO ACCOUNTABILITY FOR PUBLIC DOLLARS WITHOUT THE ACCOUNTABILITY OF A PUBLIC SCHOOL.”**

**FACT: THERE ARE NUMEROUS LAYERS OF ACCOUNTABILITY FOR EDUCATION PROVIDERS PARTICIPATING IN CHOICE PROGRAMS.**

Administrative, financial and academic accountability are built into existing (Exceptional SC) and proposed (ESA) education choice programs. Academically, in both programs, participants must take a state assessment or a national test. Most importantly, there is also the accountability to parents, who can remove their children at any time.

## MYTH 6: “EDUCATION CHOICE HURTS PUBLIC EDUCATION.”

**FACT: WHERE EDUCATION CHOICE PROGRAMS ARE PRESENT, THERE IS A POSITIVE IMPACT FOR STUDENTS WHO REMAIN IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS.**

33 studies have been conducted regarding the academic outcomes of students who remain in public schools when education choice is offered. 94% of the studies found a positive impact on academic outcomes for those students.

Florida, who now has the oldest and most robust education choice programs in the country, has seen incredible increases in math and reading achievement. In 1998, Florida’s public education system was one of the worst in the country. Just recently, The Nation’s Report Card ranked them 5th in fourth grade reading achievement. Between

1998 and 2018, nearly 150,000 low-income and disabled students received scholarships to attend a private school and an additional 280,00 students began attending a public charter school.

### Sources:

EdChoice: Greg Forster, “A Win-Win Solution: The Empirical Evidence on Education choice” (EdChoice, 2016)

Orlando Sentinel: “Nation’s report card: ‘Something very good is happening in Florida’”

Foundation for Florida’s Future: “Florida 1998 v. 2018”

## MYTH 7: “USING SELECTIVE ADMISSIONS POLICIES, PRIVATE SCHOOLS PARTICIPATING IN EDUCATION CHOICE CHERRY PICK HIGHER PERFORMING STUDENTS.”

**FACT: THERE IS ZERO EVIDENCE PRIVATE SCHOOLS ARE CHERRY PICKING HIGHER-PERFORMING SCHOLARSHIP STUDENTS.**

For example, in Florida, years of standardized test results shows students on education choice scholarships are typically the lowest-performing students from the lowest-performing public schools. All of the annual test score analyses from Florida is available and backs up this claim. This is also a false accusation against public charter schools, which by law must be open to all.

### Source:

Florida Department of Education: Facts & Figures

Step Up for Students: The plan to help disadvantaged students this year

EdChoice: Greg Forster, “A Win-Win Solution: The Empirical Evidence on Education choice” (EdChoice, 2016)

## MYTH 8: “EDUCATION CHOICE MAKES PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SCHOOLS IN AMERICA MORE RACIALLY SEGREGATED.”

**FACT: THERE IS NO REPUTABLE RESEARCH THAT PROVES THIS FINDING, IN FACT...**

...of ten studies conducted on education choice and segregation, one found no impact and nine found that education choice leads to more diversity. A RAND study found that students leaving public schools for charter schools did so between schools with similar racial compositions.

In Louisiana, 82% of transfers due to education choice had the effect of reducing racial stratification.

### Sources:

Brookings Report: “Does Expanding Education choice Increase Segregation?”

Rand: “Are Charter Schools Making a Difference?”

## **MYTH 9: “CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL NEEDS LOSE LEGAL PROTECTIONS IF THEY LEAVE THE PUBLIC SCHOOL BECAUSE PRIVATE SCHOOLS DON’T WANT THEM AND CAN’T SERVE THEM.”**

**FACT: MANY PRIVATE SCHOOLS ARE UNIQUELY QUALIFIED TO SERVE CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL NEEDS. EDUCATION CHOICE GRANTS MORE KIDS ACCESS TO THESE SCHOOLS.**

Every year in America, over 70,000 students with special needs take part in twenty education choice programs designed specifically for them. These “parental placements” in private schools are perfectly legal under the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The level of parent satisfaction with these programs is extremely high. For example, the outpouring of support for Exceptional SC, a private school placement program exclusively for

children with special needs, led the SC General Assembly to make it permanent law in 2018. Nearly every Education Savings Account (ESA) program, including SC’s proposed program, is open to children with special needs.

### **Sources:**

Heritage: “Education Savings Accounts: Giving Every Child the Chance to Succeed”

## **MYTH 10: “EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIP ACCOUNTS (ESAS) ARE JUST ANOTHER TERM FOR A VOUCHER.”**

**FACT: EDUCATION CHOICE TAKES THREE DISTINCT FORMS: ESAS, VOUCHERS, AND TAX-CREDIT SCHOLARSHIPS.**

A voucher is equivalent to a parent buying a seat in a private school with public dollars. In a tax-credit scholarship, the state uses tax-credits to incentivize donations to scholarships for students to use toward private school tuition. An ESA uses a state’s per-pupil funding formula to set-aside

state money to accounts that parents can use for an array of education expenses, while federal and local dollars stay with the district. An ESA is an innovation of previous education choice models, giving parents important flexibility to be able to fully customize their child’s education.

## **MYTH 11: “SOME CHARTER SCHOOLS ARE OPERATED FOR-PROFIT, MAKING MONEY OFF THE TAXPAYERS.”**

**FACT: ACCORDING TO SOUTH CAROLINA LAW, CHARTER SCHOOLS ARE PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND MUST BE FORMED AND GOVERNED BY A NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION.**

All public schools, district and charter, contract for services and purchase goods from for-profit vendors. Some charter school governing boards hire for-profit management companies to oversee the day-to-day operations of their schools — they are employed by the charter school; they do not own it. Calling public schools “for profit” is a tactic

intended to play “sides” against one another by evoking fear of loss and greed.

### **Sources:**

South Carolina Charter Schools Act of 1996